UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/528,993 | 01/11/2006 | Hannu Mikkonen | 0365-0624PUS1 | 6390 |
| 2292 7590 02/04/2010 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY | |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1623 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/04/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/528,993 | MIKKONEN ET AL. |
| Examiner initiated interview duminary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Ganapathy Krishnan | 1623 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) Ganapathy Krishnan. | (3) | |
| (2) Mr. Richard Gallagher. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 28 January 2009 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| /Ganapathy Krishnan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623 | | |
| | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview icluding description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed the Attorney of record that at page 1, line 9 and page 5, line 30 of the Specification a reference to Claim 15 is seen. Claim 15 has been cancelled. Requested the Applicants for authorization via Examiner's amendment to include a relevant description in the said lines at both pages. Applicants provided the requested description and authorized the Examiner's amendment.